UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SELECT DIVISION

United Stat	tes of America,	Case No. 3.24 - CR - 329 CRB
	Plaintiff,) v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Ruthi	ice He	
	Defendant(s).	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on 7/12/24, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 7/12/24 to 7/12/8, and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):		
	lure to grant a continuance would be lile 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	kely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defe or l	endants, the nature of the prosect aw, that it is unreasonable to expect ad	to [check applicable reasons] the number of aution, or the existence of novel questions of fact equate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Fai taki	lure to grant a continuance would deny ing into account the exercise of due dil	the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, igence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
cou	lure to grant a continuance would unre insel's other scheduled case commitme 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	asonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given nts, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
nec	lure to grant a continuance would unre essary for effective preparation, taking 2 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	asonably deny the defendant the reasonable time into account the exercise of due diligence.
disp par the ext	position of criminal cases, the court set agraph and — based on the parties' sho time limits for a preliminary hearing u	ring into account the public interest in the prompt as the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first owing of good cause — finds good cause for extending under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for adictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the rim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
DATED: 7/12/24		
u W		Thomas S. Hixson United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULA	TED: Vrav Market Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney